JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE						
Bill Number:	Title: Agency				<i>i</i> .	
6280 SB		Concerning the use of Facial 055 – Administrative Office				
0200 00		Recognition Technology of the Courts (AO				
Part I: Estimates	Necognition reciniology State Courts (ACC)					
□ No Fiscal Impact						
□ No Fiscai illipact						
Estimated Cash Receipts to:						
	FY 2020	FY 2	021 201	9-21	2021-23	2023-25
Total:						
Estimated Expenditures from						
STATE	FY 2020	FY 2	021 201	9-21	2021-23	2023-25
FTE – Staff Years						
Account						
General Fund – State (001-1)						
State Subtotal						
COUNTY						
County FTE Staff Years						
Account						
Local - Counties						
Counties Subtotal						
CITY						
City FTE Staff Years						
Account						
Local – Cities						
Cities Subtotal						
Local Subtotal						
Total Estimated						
Expenditures:						
The revenue and expenditure estimate expenditures may be subject to the process. Check applicable boxes and follow cools of the process of t	responding ins pool per fiscal ye per fiscal year	W 43.135 structions: ear in the	.060. current bienniu	ım or in sub	sequent bier	nnia, complete
			Phone:		Date:	
Legislative Contact:			FIIUIIE.		Date:	

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 1/14/2020
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would set forth requirements for governmental agency use of facial recognition technology.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

Section 9(3) – Would provide that in January of each year, any judge who has issued a warrant for ongoing surveillance, or an extension thereof, as described in Section 11(1) of this act, that expired in the preceding year, or who has denied approval of such a warrant during that year shall report to the Washington State Supreme Court:

- (a) The fact that a warrant or extension was applied for;
- (b) The fact that the warrant or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied:
- (c) The period of ongoing surveillance authorized by the warrant and the number and duration of any extensions of the warrant;
- (d) The identity of the applying investigative or law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application; and
- (e) The nature of the public spaces where the surveillance was conducted.

Section 11(1)(a) – Would provide that state and local government agencies may not use facial recognition services for ongoing surveillance unless it is for law enforcement purposes and there is a search warrant or exigent circumstances relating to a person's physical safety.

II.B - Cash Receipt Impact

None.

II.C – Expenditures

Indeterminate, but expected to be minimal. Courts would be required to provide a report to the Supreme Court per the requirements of Section 9. It is assumed the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) would be required to create a report/form and create and maintain a procedure for reporting.